

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 6 through 49 are pending in this application. Claims 1 through 5 have been cancelled.

The Office Action objects to the drawings for failure to indicate reference numbers 57, 62 and 63. FIGS. 2 through 6 have been corrected to indicate linear slope 57. FIGS. 1 through 8 have been corrected to indicate point 62. FIGS. 2 through 6 have been corrected to indicate edges 63. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner approves the corrected drawings.

The Office Action rejects claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,652,990 to Driesen. The Office Action rejects claims 1 through 3, and 5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,058,541 to Masterman. The Applicants respectfully disagree with these rejections. However, in the interest of advancing the prosecution, Applicants have cancelled claims 1 through 5.

The Office Action concedes that claims 6 through 49 are allowable. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that this application be passed to allowance.

Dated: October 9, 2003



Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.
Registration No. 28,468
Attorney for Applicants
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero &
Perle, L.L.P.
One Landmark Square
Stamford, CT 06901-2682
Tel: (203) 327-4500

APPENDIX